J-PARC Heavy-Ion Acceleration

Pranab K. Saha, H. Harada J-PARC Center

J-PARC KEK & JAEA)

Neutrino experiment (NU)

Materials & Life Science Facility (MLF)

Televille and

FFF

400 MeV H⁻ Linac

50 GeV Main Ring Synchrotron (MR) [30 GeV at present]

mental

Synchrotron (RCS)

GeV Rapid Cycling

Hadron Experimental Hall (HD)



Introduction and Outline

J-PARC is a multi-purpose research facility consists of 3 accelerators and several experimental facilities that make use of high intensity proton beams.
RCS already achieved acceleration of designed 1 MW-eqv. beam power.
MR also approaching towards the designed beam power.

In response to the interesting HI physics program, we are considering to adapt new accelerator scheme for HI in J-PARC.

• Studies of HI acceleration in the RCS is the main topic in this talk.

Outline:

- 1. Overview of J-PARC HI physics program
- 2. HI acceleration strategy and accelerator scheme
- 3. Overview of 3-GeV RCS and latest performances
- 4. Simulation results of U^{86+} acceleration in the RCS
- 5. Summary and Outlook



HI physics goal at J-PARC



• To study QCD phase structures (critical point and phase boundary) in high baryon density regime of $8-10\rho_0$ (U+U system).

 Study the properties of high baryon density matter.

→ Fixed target collision by using slowly extracted HI beam of 1E11/cycle (6s) from the MR.

The HD programs should also have advantages by using HI beam.

- Hypernuclear production rate
- S=-3 sector (only possible by HI collisions)

Beam energy: 1-20 GeV/u (U) beam from the MR

Beam intensity: 1E11/cycle (~6s)

To adapt such a high intensity HI scheme in the already running proton machines and moreover without intercepting any the of existing programs with proton beam is surely a big challenge!

HIAcceleration strategy in J-PARC

We plan to use existing and high performance RCS and the MR for HI acceleration in addition to proton.

The RCS can be a suitable HI injector for MR for the final acceleration up to ~20 GeV/u (@50 GeV for p).

RCS: Already achieved designed 1 MW-eq. beam power. **MR :** Achieved up to ~5E13 protons/cycle for HD operation.

O Well understood and optimized accelerator performances.
--- Enable realistic discussion on beam dynamics issues and measures for high intensity HI beam.

O Use existing building and devices.

-- Reduction of space and budget to accelerate up to \sim GeV/u (U) for MR injection.

- **©** RCS has Large acceptance
- -- transverse (ε_{tr}) > 486 π mm mrad, longitudinal ($\Delta p/p$) > ±1%

(Yet unofficial!)





Key issues to realize HI acceleration

We should meet the goal without intercepting any of the existing/planned programs with proton beam.

Four following serious issues, particularly with RCS must be cleared.

• Simultaneous operation with proton for MLF and HI for MR must be done.

• Most of the machine parameters fixed for p must be used for HI (At present, no choice for changing most of the parameters between cycles).

Vacuum pressure level: ~10⁻⁸ Torr (no problem for p).
 Not satisfied for HI w/ lower charge states (U⁸⁶⁺ is thus considered).

New HI injection system



RCS beam delivery pattern



MR operates for either NU or HD

When MR operates for HD (5.52s), No. of RCS cycles: $25 \times 5.52 = 138$ \rightarrow 134 RCS cycles to MLF, 4 to MR

Only when MR runs HI, RCS injects HI in the MR cycle.
 → No conflict with MLF/NU



Location for RCS HI injection Scheme

RCS extraction are

to MR



HI injection system in the R(

Place: At the end of extraction
→ Only available space.

Candidate place for HI injection system

Scheme: One turn injection from the HI booster.

 \rightarrow By using 1 or 2 kickers. Simple injection system.

P.K. Saha

HIWS-2016



Overview of the RCS and latest performance with proton beam







RCS 1 MW beam study results

Courtesy: H. Hotchi



 \rightarrow Demonstrates RCS potential to achieve a rather high intensity HI beam too.



RCS proton beam power capability ---- Space charge limitation

Laslett tune shift at injection energy:

$$\Delta v = -\frac{r_p n_t}{2\pi \beta^2 \gamma^3 \varepsilon B_f}$$

 r_p : classical radius of proton n_t : no. of protons in the ring β , γ : relativistic parameters ε : transverse painting emittance (100 π mm mrad) B_f : Bunching factor (0.4)

E _{inj} (MeV)	ppp (x10 ¹³)	Beam power at E _{ext} (MW)	Δν	Comment	
181	4.5	0.54	-0.53	Achieved	
400	8.33	1	-0.33	Achieved	
400	11.0	1.3	-0.43	Reasonable	
400	13.3	(1.6)	-0.53	Reasonable	



Tune footprint (Simulation)



Trans. painting $(\varepsilon_{tr}) = 100\pi$ mm mrad Longitudinal painting: Full (B_f=0.4) V2/V1 =0.8%, Δp offset =-0.2% $\phi 2$ = -100deg.

Black: 181 MeV injection 4.5E13/pulse (0.54 MW)

Red: 400 MeV injection 12.5E13 /pulse (1.5 MW)



Simulation for U⁸⁶⁺acceleration in the RCS

Code: ORBIT-3D

Steps:

(1) Single particle w/o SC(2) Multi-particle w/ SC

 BM, QM, Sextuples are kept unchanged as optimized for 1MW proton (for MLF).
 Those can't be changed pulse-to-pulse.

• rf patterns are differently used.
 → Upgrades might be necessary.
 (may not be a big issue!)

Injection energy: 61.8 MeV/u
Extraction energy: 735 MeV/u
→ (1) Successfully confirmed by
the single particle simulation.



(2) Multi-particle simulations w/ SC

Space charge limit:

Laslett tune shift:

 $\Delta v \approx$

For 1 MW proton: $8.33 \times 10^{13}/2b$ \rightarrow 4.2 × 10¹³/b

 $: 4.2 \times 10^{13}$ / bunch + p x U⁸⁶⁺: 1.1 × 10¹¹ / bunch

• Bare tune (6.45, 6.42)



Consistent with numerical estimation!

Particle

Ρ

U⁸⁶⁺



(2) Transverse and longitudinal beam distributions

Inj. beam parameters:

lnj.	No of	Intensity	Beam	∆s	∆p/p	ε _{tr}
turn	bunch	(× 10 ¹¹)	shape	(ns)	(%)	(π mm mrad)
1	1	1.1	Gaussian	1180	±0.9	100



>99.9% transverse emittances of the extracted beam are within 3-50BT collimator aperture.

- ✓ Collimated beam power << Collimator limit</p>
- ✓ Satisfy very strict beam quality for MR injection.



(2) Beam survival



U⁸⁶⁺: $1.1 \times 10^{11} \rightarrow$ stripping at 3-50BT $\rightarrow U^{92+}$: ~1 × 10¹¹/RCS cycle 4 RCS cycles injection in the MR: 4 ×10¹¹/MR cycle !





In order to realize HI physics program in J-PARC, a new HI accelerator scheme by utilizing most of the existing facilities are proposed.

RCS plays the most important role to realize HI program in J-PARC. Possibilities of HI acceleration in the RCS are reported.

Studies are done within the designed and fixed frame for proton in the RCS.

More than 10¹¹ U⁸⁶⁺ ions can be achieved without any significant beam losses.
 No serious beam dynamics issues even up to such an intensity.

 \rightarrow Gives 4 × 10¹¹ U⁹²⁺ ions/cycle (5.52s) in the MR and quite more than experimental requirement at present.

Design studies of new HI Booster is in good progress.

→ Harada-san (Tomorrow)

The RCS including proposed new HI accelerator scheme has no interference/conflict with existing programs that make use of proton beams.



Saha

May be in near future

Thank you for your attention!

Televis .



Backup slides

HI Accelerator Scheme



	LINAC	Booster	Stripper 2	RCS	Stripper 3	MR
	out	out	Carbon	out	Cu <z<sub>T<ta< td=""><td>out</td></ta<></z<sub>	out
E (MeV/u)	20	67.0	61.8	735.4	727.0	11.15 GeV/u
Q	35	66+-2	86	86	92	92

Present simulation background

Tool: ORBIT 3-D space charge code:

ightarrow Originally developed at the SNS in Oak Ridge.

 \rightarrow Successfully adopted in the RCS, especially for beam instability simulation.

(Ext. kicker impedance is a significant beam instability source in the RCS.)

• Space charge effect is strongly connected to the beam instability.

-- First an accurate space charge simulation was demonstrated.



Beam instability at 1 MW: Simulation vs. Measurement The next step was to determine optimum parameters to avoid beam instability at 1 MW.

Even DC chromatic correction gives beam instability at 1 MW! → Confirmed by measurements!!

ORBIT can be used HI beam simulation in the RCS