# K<sup>bar</sup>-nucleus interaction study at J-PARC

Yudai Ichikawa (JAEA) for J-PARC E05 Collaboration

### Contents

- Introduction
  - K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction
  - KEK E548 experiment
  - Theoretical criticism for E548
- <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, *p*) study in E05 pilot run
  - Detector setup
  - Geant4 simulation
  - Very preliminary result of the last Oct. data
- Summary

### K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction

An important tool to study the K<sup>bar</sup>-nucleus interaction is kaonic atoms.

Simple tp approach

$$\begin{split} & [\Delta - 2\mu(B + V_{opt} + V_c) + (V_c + B)^2]\Psi = 0\\ & 2\mu V_{opt}(r) = -4\pi \Big(1 + \frac{\mu}{m}\frac{A - 1}{A}\Big)b_0\rho(r)\\ & \boxed{\operatorname{Re}(\mathsf{V}_0) \sim -80\ \mathrm{MeV}} \end{split}$$

DD(Density dependent) potential

 $b_0 \rightarrow b_0 + B_0[\rho(r)/\rho_0]$ 

 $Re(V_0) = -(150-200) MeV$ 

Fourier-Bessel method

Re(V<sub>0</sub>) ~ -(170) MeV

 IHW K<sup>bar</sup>N interaction+phenomenological multi-nucleon absorption

Re(V<sub>0</sub>) ~ -(170) MeV

Chiral motivated model

 $\text{Re}(V_0) \leq -60 \text{ MeV}$ 



### K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction

An important tool to study the K<sup>bar</sup>-nucleus interaction is kaonic atoms.



The depth of K<sup>bar</sup>-nucleus potential strongly depends on the model setting. It is not conclusive whether K<sup>bar</sup>-nucleus potential is "deep" or "shallow"!! Both type of potential can reproduce the kaonic atoms data.

#### In order to solve this problem, a new experimental constraint is necessary!

 IHW K<sup>bar</sup>N interaction+phenomenological multi-nucleon absorption

Re(V<sub>0</sub>) ~ -(170) MeV

Chiral motivated model

 $Re(V_0) \leq -60 MeV$ 



### K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction

#### <u>Kaos data</u>

- dσ/dΩ of K<sup>±</sup> in p+C and p+Au at 1.6, 2.5, and 3.5 GeV.
- 1.58 GeV:  $pp \rightarrow K^+\Lambda p$  threshold,
- 2.5 GeV:  $pp \rightarrow K^+K^-pp$  threshold

Comparing with BUU transport model.

 $Re(V_0) \simeq -80 MeV$  ("shallow")  $R = \frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\perp}} \bigg|_{\kappa^{-}} \bigg/ \frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\perp}} \bigg|_{\kappa^{+}}$  $(m_{\perp} = \sqrt{(p_{\perp}^2 + m_{\kappa}^2)})$ p+C p+Au (dơ/dm⊥(K<sup>+</sup>))/(dơ/dm<sub>⊥</sub>(K<sup>+</sup>)) -2 W. Scheinast et al., PRL 96, 072301 (2006). 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2  $m_{\perp} - m_0 (GeV/c^2)$  $m_{\perp} - m_0 (GeV/c^2)$ 

Calculation for the  $1_s\Lambda$ hypernuclear formation rate in stopped K<sup>-</sup> reaction.

(from FINUDA data)



# KEK E548 [<sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, N) spectrum]

T. Kishimoto et al., PTP 118, 1 (2007)



 $C(K^{-}, n), C(K^{-}, p)$  reaction at 1GeV/c

- K<sup>-</sup> beam: 10<sup>4</sup>/spill
- KEK-PS K2 beamline + KURAMA
- MM resolution ~ 10 MeV ( $\sigma$ )
- $\theta_{sc} < 4.1^{\circ}$  was chosen

 $V_{opt}$  was studied by comparing DWIA

- C(K<sup>-</sup>, n): V<sub>opt</sub> = (-190, -40) MeV

- C(K<sup>-</sup>, p): V<sub>opt</sub> = (-160, -50) MeV (dotted line: Vopt = (-60, -60) MeV)



## **Discussion for KEK E548**

- V. K. Magas *et al.*, pointed out a serious drawback in this experimental setup.
  - In E548, at lest one charged particle detected by their decay counter was required. (semi-inclusive spectrum)
- We took <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, p) real inclusive spectrum as by-product of E05 pilot run (Ξ hyper nucleus search using <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>)) in Oct, 2015.



### Criticism for KEK-PS E548

V. K. Magas et al., PRC 81, 024609 (2010).

#### Monte Carlo study for the semi-inclusive spectra.

Although their calculation is not realistic, they conclude the coincidence spectra can distort the original inclusive spectra.

→ Semi-inclusive spectra doesn't have enough sensitivity !!



FIG. 8. (Color online) Calculated  ${}^{12}C(K^-, p)$  spectra for  $V_{opt} = (-60, -60)\rho/\rho_0$  MeV and  $V_{opt} = (-200, -60)\rho/\rho_0$  MeV, taking into account all contributing processes (solid and dot-dashed lines) and imposing the minimal coincidence requirement (dashed and dotted lines).

### Criticism for KEK-PS E548

V. K. Magas et al., PRC 81, 024609 (2010).

#### Comparison with calculated coincidence spectrum.

Conclusion

Coincidence spectrum doesn't have the sensitivity for the V<sub>opt</sub>.



FIG. 9. (Color online) The <sup>12</sup>C( $K^-$ , p) spectrum obtained with  $V_{\text{opt}} = (-60, -60)\rho/\rho_0$  MeV and the minimal coincidence requirement, for several reduction factors. Experimental points are taken from Ref. [44].





FIG. 10. (Color online) The same as Fig. 9, but for  $V_{\text{opt}} = (-200, -60)\rho/\rho_0$  MeV.

### <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, p) data as a by-product of J-PARC E05 experiment

### E05 experiment Study of E-hypernucleus <sup>12</sup><sub>E</sub>Be using <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>)reaction

### \*Purpose

- \* Confirm the existence of
  - E-hypernucleus as a peak
- \* Ξ-nucleus potential depth and width

S-2S spectrometer will be usedfor the E05 experiment.In the last October, pilot runusing the SKS was carried out.



# <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, p) study in E05 pilot run

- Goal of this measurement
  - Determine the K<sup>bar</sup>-A optical potential from QF inclusive spectrum.
  - Check the semi-inclusive effect by installing the scintillation counter.
- We can take this data with E05 setup at the same time.



### Simulated BE spectrum

This figure is simulated BE spectrum for  $\theta < 4.1^\circ$  events by using old bubble chamber data and Fermi-motion. The effective #K- beam is used real value. The effective nucleon number 1.5 is used for this simulation .





### **Review of KIC**

KIC ("K<sup>-</sup> identification counter") was installed to check the distotion effect. KIC is composed from 4 segments (U, D, L, and R). In the KEK E548, their decay counter is installed at U and D side not L and R side. The U and D configuration of KIC is same as the decay counter of KEK E548 (called as "CV").



KIC coincidence effect  $(MM_p)$ Missing mass for  $p(K^-, p)$  reaction  $(MM_p)$  at  $p_K = -1.8$  GeV/c  $(CH_2 \text{ target})$ 

We checked the coincidence effect of KIC.



### KIC coincidence effect (BE)

They are BE spectrum for C target at  $p_{K} = -1.8$  GeV/c.



We can see the coincidence probability drop around Elastic region as we expected. However, the coincidence probability is more drastically dropped around BE = 0 GeV. In principle, the final state of BE < 0 region should be included  $\Lambda$  or  $\Sigma$  or  $\pi$ . Thus, the coincidence probability for BE < 0 region should be higher than QF elastic region. Anyway, this coincidence has distorted original inclusive spectum.



### Comparison between E05 and KEK E548

It is comparison between J-PARC E05 and KEK E548 data.



# Summary

- K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction has been studied from kaonic atom data *etc*..
  - However, it is not conclusive whether K<sup>bar</sup>-A potential is "deep" or "shallow".
- KEK E548 experiment studied K<sup>bar</sup>-A interaction by comparing <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, N) spectra with DWIA calculation.
  - It is pointed out that charged particle hit requirement might distort the inclusive spectrum.
- We have taken <sup>12</sup>C(K<sup>-</sup>, p) real inclusive spectrum as a byproduct of J-PARC E05.
  - We have found the coincidence distorted the original spectrum.
  - We will determine the interaction by comparing our spectrum with DWIA calculation.