



Recent Studies on Heavy-quark Hadrons

Hyun-Chul Kim

Department of Physics Inha University & RIKEN(理化学研究所)

The 31st Reimei WorkShop on Hadron Physics in Extreme Conditions at J-PARC, Jan.18, 2016

Confinement & Heavy-quark potential

Nonperturbative QCD



QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\not\!\!D - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu\,a}$$

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - iA^a_{\mu}t^a, \ a = 1, \cdots 8$$

$$G^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$

Gauge invariance

$$\psi \to S\psi$$

 $A_{\mu} \to SA_{\mu}S^{-1} + iS\partial_{\mu}S^{-1}$

Nonperturbative QCD



QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\not\!\!D - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu\,a}$$

This classical Lagrangian looks simple but has profound nonperturbative nature.

1.Confinement (Understood only qualitatively)

2. Chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breakdown

A clue about Quark Confinement



Wilson's criteria of the quark confinement



Central Q-Qbar potential



In the limit of infinitely heavy quark mass



G. S. Bali, K. Schilling, A. Wachter, hep-lat/9506017 (RCNP, Confinement 1995)



The ground state of bottomonia: $\eta_b(1S)$

 $m_{\eta_b} = 9394.2^{+4.8}_{-4.9}$ (stat) ± 2.0 (syst) MeV/ c^2

It was first found by the BABAR collaboration in 2009 and was confirmed by the CLEO collaboration.

BABAR, PRL 103 (2009) 161801, CLEO, PRD 81 (2010) 031104





The ground state of bottomonia: $\eta_b(1S)$ $m_{\Upsilon}(1S) - m_{\eta_b} = 66.1^{+4.9}_{-4.8} \pm 2.0 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

It was first found by the BABAR collaboration in 2009 and was confirmed by the CLEO collaboration.

BABAR, PRL 103 (2009) 161801, CLEO, PRD 81 (2010) 031104

Full Lattice prediction (including light-quark vacuum polarizations) $m_{\Upsilon}(1S) - m_{\eta_b} = 61 \pm 14 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ Consistent with experiments

Gray etal. PRD72 (2005) 094507

Certain nonperturbative effects should come into play! (They may be more important than confinement for low-lying charmonia.)

Motivation 2



Many exotic heavy-light quark hadrons were newly found (XYZ mesons) and many new states will be measured.

We will present in this talk a recent preliminary result for the heavy quark potential from the instanton vacuum as a step toward constructing an effective action for heavy-light quark systems.

Light-quark sector

Instantons & SXSB

Effective Partition function



QCD partition function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{QCD}} &= \int DA_{\mu} D\psi D\psi^{\dagger} \exp\left[\sum_{f=1}^{N_{f}} \int d^{4}x \psi_{f}^{\dagger} (i \not\!\!D + i m_{f}) \psi_{f} - \frac{1}{4g^{2}} \int d^{4}x G^{2}\right] \\ &= \int DA_{\mu} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4g^{2}} \int d^{4}x G^{2}\right] \operatorname{Det}(i \not\!\!D + i m_{f}) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over gluons means averaging the partition function over (anti-)instantons

$$\implies \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\text{eff}} = \text{Det}(i \not D + i m_f)$$

Instanton fields

$$A^{a}_{\mu} = 2\bar{\eta}^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x-z)_{\nu}\frac{\rho^{2}}{(x-z)^{2}[(x-z)^{2}+\rho^{2}]}$$



Zero-mode equation



Fourier transform of the zero mode will bring about the momentum dependent quark mass.

Momentum-dependent quark mass M(k)

$$F(k\rho) = 2t \left[I_0(t)K_1(t) - I_1(t)K_0(t) - \frac{1}{t}I_1(t)K_1(t) \right] \Big|_{t=k\rho/2}$$

Momentum-dependent quark mass





Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking





Helicity of a light quark is flipped by hoping from instants to anti-instantons and vice versa. By doing that, the quark acquires the dynamical quark mass M(p).

$$\implies S(p) = \frac{i}{\not p + iM(p^2)}$$

Nonzero quark condensate: $-i\langle\psi^{\dagger}\psi\rangle = 4N_c \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{M(p)}{p^2 + M^2(p)} = -(253 \,\mathrm{MeV})^3$

Eff. Chiral Action from the instanton vacuum



Effective QCD action from the instanton vacuum

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int D\psi D\psi^{\dagger} \exp\left(\int d^4x \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_f i \partial \!\!\!/ \psi^f\right) \left(\frac{Y_{N_f}^+}{V M_1^{N_f}}\right)^{N_+} \left(\frac{Y_{N_f}^-}{V M_1^{N_f}}\right)^{N_-}$$

$$Y_{N_f}^+ = \int d\rho \, d(\rho) \int dU \prod_{f=1}^{N_f} \left\{ \int \frac{d^4 k_f}{(2\pi)^4} \left[2\pi \rho F(k_f \rho) \right] \int \frac{d^4 l_f}{(2\pi)^4} \left[2\pi \rho F(l_f \rho) \right] \right\}$$

$$\cdot (2\pi)^4 \delta(k_1 + \ldots + k_{N_f} - l_1 - \ldots - l_{N_f}) \cdot U^{\alpha_f}_{i'_f} U^{\dagger j'_f}_{\beta_f} \epsilon^{i_f i'_f} \epsilon_{j_f j'_f} \left[i \psi^{\dagger}_{Lf\alpha_f i_f}(k_f) \psi^{f\beta_f j_f}_{L}(l_f) \right] \bigg\}.$$

$d(\rho)$: instanton distribution, U: Color orientation

After integrating over zero modes and bosonizing, we get the effective chiral action:

$$S_{\rm eff} = -N_c \text{Tr} \log \left[i \partial \!\!\!/ + i \sqrt{M(i\partial)} U^{\gamma_5} \sqrt{M(i\partial)} \right]$$

Heavy-quark sector



Instantons

Comments of the second

Decompose the QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = q^{\dagger} (i \not\!\!D + im)q + Q^{\dagger} (i \not\!\!D + iM)Q - \frac{1}{4g^2}G^2$$

Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation (Heavy-quark expansion)

Wilson-loop as a heavy-quark propagator

$$W = \operatorname{Tr}\left[P \exp i \oint dx_{\mu} \sum_{I\bar{I}} A^{I}_{\mu}\right]$$





$$W(C) = \langle T | \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \sum_{I} a_{I} \right)^{-1} | 0 \rangle$$

$$a_I = iA_{I\mu}[x(t)]\dot{x}_{\mu}(t)$$

Average over instanton ensemble (average over all positions and orientations of instantons)

$$w = \left\langle \left\langle \left(\left(\theta^{-1} - \sum_{I} a_{I} \right)^{-1} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle, \qquad \theta^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt}$$

$$w^{-1} = \theta^{-1} - \frac{N}{2VN_{c}} \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\int d^{4}z_{I} \theta^{-1} (w_{I} - \theta) \theta^{-1} + (I \to \overline{I}) \right] + \mathcal{O}((N/VN_{c})^{2})$$
D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, P. Pobylitsa, PLB **226**, 372 (1989)
$$w_{I} = (\theta^{-1} - a_{I})^{-1}$$

18

Corrections to the heavy quark mass



Taking a limit $T \to \infty$

$$\operatorname{Tr} P \exp\left[i \int_0^T A_4 dx_4\right] \sim \exp[-\Delta M T]$$

$$\Delta M = -\frac{N}{2VN_c} \int dt \int dt' \int d^3 z_I \operatorname{Tr}_c \langle t | \theta^{-1} (w_I - \theta) \theta^{-1} | t' \rangle \Big|_{z_{I4} = 0} + (I \to \bar{I})$$
$$\Delta M = \frac{N}{2VN_c} \int d^3 z_I \operatorname{Tr}_c \left[1 - P \exp\left(i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_4 \overline{A_{I4}}\right) \Big|_{z_{I4} = 0} \right] + (I \to \bar{I})$$

Put here the instanton solution

$\Delta M \simeq 70 \, { m MeV}$: Spin-independent

D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, P. Pobylitsa, PLB 226, 372 (1989)

Heavy-quark potential





$$V(R) = \frac{N}{2VN_c} \int d^3 z_I \operatorname{Tr}_c \left[1 - P \exp\left(i \int_{L_1} dx_4 A_{I4}\right) P \exp\left(-i \int_{L_2} dx_4 A_{I4}\right) \right] + (I \to \bar{I})$$

 $V(0) = 0, V(\infty) = 2\Delta M$

D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, P. Pobylitsa, PLB 226, 372 (1989)

Instanton effects on heavy quark potential







Decompose the QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{q}(i\not\!\!D - m)q + \left[\bar{\Psi}(i\not\!\!D - M_Q)\Psi\right] - \frac{1}{4g^2}G^2$$

Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation (Heavy-quark mass expansion)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HQET}} = \bar{Q}_{v}(x) \left(iv \cdot D - i \not \!\!\!D_{\perp} \frac{1}{2M_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \not \!\!\!D_{\perp} \right) Q_{v}(x)$$

Inverse of the heavy quark propagator



$$\left(iv \cdot D - i \not\!\!D_{\perp} \frac{1}{2M_Q + iv \cdot D} i \not\!\!D_{\perp}\right) S(x, y, A) = \delta^4(x - y)$$

Leading heavy-quark propagator

$$(iv \cdot D)S_0(x, y, A) = \delta^4(x - y)$$
 $v_\mu = (1, 0)$

$$S_0(x, y, A) = i\theta(x_0 - y_0)P \exp\left(i\int_{y_0}^{x_0} dz_4 A_4\right)\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

Effective full propagator as an integral equation

$$S(x,y,A) = S_0(x,y,A) - \int d^4 z S_0(x,z,A) \left[i \not D_\perp \frac{1}{2M_Q + iv \cdot D} i \not D_\perp \right] S(z,y,A)$$



Wilson-loop as a heavy-quark propagator



$$W = \operatorname{Tr}\left[S\left(x_2, y_2, -i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right) P(y_1, y_2)\overline{\Gamma}S\left(y_1, x_1, -i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right) P(x_2, x_1)\Gamma\right] Z[J]|_{J=0}$$
$$P(x, y) = P \exp\left(i\int_x^y \mathrm{d}z^\mu A_\mu\right)$$
$$\mathcal{Z}[J] = \int DA_\mu \exp i \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4}(G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + J^\mu_a A^a_\mu\right]$$

E. Eichten and F. Feinberg, PRD 23, 2724 (1981). 24

Heavy-quark potential



As $m_Q \to \infty$

 $W = \operatorname{Tr}[\Gamma \overline{\Gamma} w] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}_1) \delta(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}_2)$

$$w = \langle 1 \rangle - \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dz \, \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma_1^k \langle E^i(z, \mathbf{x}_1) D^j(z, \mathbf{x}_1) \rangle + (1 \longrightarrow 2) \\ - \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dz \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dz' \sigma_1^i \langle B^i(z, \mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{D}^2(z', \mathbf{x}_1) \rangle + (1 \longrightarrow 2) \\ - \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dz \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dz' \left[\sigma_1^i \langle B^i(z, \mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{D}^2(z', \mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \right. \\ + \left. \sigma_2^i \langle \mathbf{D}^2(z, \mathbf{x}_1) B^i(z', \mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + \left. \sigma_1^i \sigma_2^j \langle B^i(z, \mathbf{x}_1) B^j(z', \mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \right]$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int DA_{\mu} \mathrm{Tr}_{c} P \left[\mathcal{O} \exp \left(i \oint_{C} \mathrm{d} z_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right) \right] e^{i S_{YM}}$$

Heavy-quark potential with $1/M_Q^2$



$$\begin{split} V_{SD}(r) &= \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1}{4m_Q^2} - \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_2}{4m_{\bar{Q}}^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{dV(r)}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dV_1(r)}{dr}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1}{2m_Q m_{\bar{Q}}} - \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_2}{2m_Q m_{\bar{Q}}}\right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_2(r)}{dr} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6m_Q m_{\bar{Q}}} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \nabla^2 V_2(r) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12m_Q m_{\bar{Q}}} (3\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{n} - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) V_3(r) \end{split}$$

$$V_{1}(r) = -\frac{1}{2} V(r), \qquad V(r) = \frac{4\pi}{N_{c}} \frac{1}{R^{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dz z^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dt \left\{ 1 - \cos\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 + zrt}{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 + zrt + \rho^{2}}}\right) \cos\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 - zrt}{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 - zrt + \rho^{2}}}\right) V_{2}(r) = \frac{1}{2} V(r), \qquad -\frac{z^{2} - r^{2}/4}{\sqrt{(z^{2} + r^{2}/4)^{2} - (zrt)^{2}}} \sin\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 + zrt}{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 + zrt + \rho^{2}}}\right) \sin\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 - zrt}{z^{2} + r^{2}/4 - zrt + \rho^{2}}}\right) V_{3}(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}}\right) V(r)$$

Heavy-quark potential with $1/M_Q^2$



$$V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r) = V(r) + V_{SS}(r)(\mathbf{S}_Q \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{Q}}) + V_{LS}(r)(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}) + V_T(r) \left[3(\mathbf{S}_Q \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{Q}} \cdot \mathbf{n}) - \mathbf{S}_Q \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{Q}} \right].$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Spin-Spin Interaction} & V_{SS}(r) = \frac{1}{3m_Q^2} \nabla^2 V(r), \\ \\ \text{Spin-Orbit Interaction} & V_{LS}(r) = \frac{1}{2m_Q^2 r} \frac{dV(r)}{dr}, \\ \\ \\ \\ \text{Tensor Interaction} & V_T(r) = \frac{1}{3m_Q^2} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{dV(r)}{dr} - \frac{d^2 V(r)}{dr^2} \right). \end{array}$$

B. Turimov, HChK,U. Yakhshiev, M.M. Musakhanov, E. Hiyama, in preparation

Instanton effects on heavy quark potential





Instanton effects on heavy quark potential



We first estimate the instanton effects on charmonium and bottomonium mass splittings with some approximations considered.

With large r

$$V(r) = 2M_Q - \frac{\pi^3}{N_c} \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^4 \frac{1}{r}$$

With small r

$$V(r) = \frac{\rho\eta}{R^4} r^2 - \frac{\xi}{\rho R^4} r^4$$

$$V_{SS}(r) = \frac{1}{m_Q^2} \left[\frac{2\rho\eta}{R^4} - \frac{20\xi}{3\rho R^4} r^2 \right] \qquad \eta \simeq 5.6, \quad \xi \simeq 2.1$$

$$V_{LS}(r) = \frac{1}{m_Q^2} \left[\frac{\rho\eta}{R^4} - \frac{2\zeta}{\rho R^4} r^2 \right]$$

$$V_T(r) = \frac{8\zeta}{3m_Q^2 \rho R^4} r^2$$



These are the estimates. The results from the full calculation are to come!

	This work [Me	eV] Ex	periment [MeV][1	2]			
	Charmonium states						
$\Delta M_{J/\psi-\eta_c}$	18.48		113.32 ± 0.689				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{c2}-\chi_{c1}}$	18.48		45.54 ± 0.02	p = 0.00 IIII			
$\Delta M_{\chi_{c2}-\chi_{c0}}$	27.72	small but	141.45 ± 0.22	$R = 1 \mathrm{fm}$			
$\Delta M_{\chi_{c1}-\chi_{c0}}$	9.24	non negligi	ble 95.91 ± 0.24				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{c2}-h_c}$	27.72		30.82 ± 0.02				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{c1}-h_c}$	9.24)	-14.72 ± 0.04				
Bottomonium states							
$\Delta M_{\Upsilon - \eta_b}$	1.70		62.30 ± 2.94				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{b2}-\chi_{b1}}$	1.70		19.43				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{b2}-\chi_{b0}}$	2.55		52.77 ± 0.16				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{b1}-\chi_{b0}}$	0.85	Tiny effect	s 33.34 ± 0.16				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{b2}-h_b}$	2.55		12.91 ± 0.43				
$\Delta M_{\chi_{b1}-h_b}$	0.85) 	-6.52 ± 0.43				

Outlook



Things to do

- Compute the mass splittings of the low-lying quarkonia, using the potential together with instanton effects.
- Compute the light-quark corrections to the heavy-quark potential and to the quarkonia mass.
- Construct the effective partition function for heavy-lightquark systems.

Charm & Bottom baryons

Motivation 1



The masses of bottom baryons:

$$\begin{split} M_{\Sigma_b^+} &= 5811.3^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 1.7 \,\mathrm{MeV} \qquad M_{\Sigma_b^-} = 5815.5^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \pm 1.7 \,\mathrm{MeV} \\ M_{\Sigma_b^{*+}} &= 5832.1 \pm 0.7^{+1.7}_{-1.8} \,\mathrm{MeV} \qquad M_{\Sigma_b^{*-}} = 5835.1 \pm 0.7^{+1.7}_{-1.8} \,\mathrm{MeV} \\ \mathrm{CDF}, \mathrm{PRD85}, 092011 \,(2012) \end{split}$$

 $M_{\Xi_{b}} = 5948.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.2 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ CMS, PRL 108, 252002 (2012)

$$\begin{split} M_{\Xi_b^{\prime}} &= 5935.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05 \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ M_{\Xi_b^*} &= 5955.33 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05 \, \mathrm{MeV} \end{split} \label{eq:main_eq} \text{LHCb, PRL 114 062004 (2015)} \end{split}$$

The masses of the low-lying bottom baryons are now much known with the help of LHC.



The nucleon can be considered as a chiral soliton in the large Nc limit.

The model was successful in describing the structure of the nucleon.
Will this mean-field approach (large Nc limit) work also for excited as well as heavy baryons?



Chiral Quark-Soliton Approach (Quarks in the pion mean fields)



$$S_{\text{eff}} = -N_c \text{Tr} \ln(i\partial \!\!\!/ + iMU^{\gamma_5} + i\hat{m})$$

Nucleon consisting of Nc quarks

 $\Pi_N = \langle 0 | J_N(0, T/2) J_N^{\dagger}(0, -T/2) | 0 \rangle$

$$J_N(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{N_c!} \varepsilon^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{N_c}} \Gamma_{JJ_3Y'TT_3Y}^{\{f\}} \psi_{\beta_1 f_1}(\vec{x},t) \cdots \psi_{\beta_{N_c} f_{N_c}}(\vec{x},t)$$
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \Pi_N(T) \simeq e^{-M_N T}$$

$$\Pi_N(\vec{x},t) = \Gamma_N^{\{f\}} \Gamma_N^{\{g\}*} \frac{1}{Z} \int dU \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} \left\langle 0, T/2 \left| \frac{1}{D(U)} \right| 0, -T/2 \right\rangle_{f,g} e^{-S_{\text{eff}}}$$

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{Z}\prod_{i=1}^{N_c}\left\langle 0,T/2\left|\frac{1}{D(U)}\right|0,-T/2\right\rangle \sim e^{-(N_c E_{\text{val}}(U)+E_{\text{sea}}(U))T}$$
37



Classical solitons

 $\langle J_N(\vec{x},T) J_N^{\dagger}(\vec{y},-T) \rangle_0 \sim \Pi_N(T) \sim e^{-[(N_c E_{\rm val} + E_{\rm sea})T]}$





 $\frac{\delta}{\delta U}(N_c E_{\text{val}} + E_{\text{sea}}) = 0 \implies M_{\text{cl}} = N_c E_{\text{val}}(U_c) + E_{\text{sea}}(U_c)$

Hedgehog Ansatz:

$$U_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} = \exp\left[i\gamma_5\mathbf{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{r})\right]$$



hedgehog





Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking













system is stabilized.





The valence quarks produce the mean field in the large Nc limit!



- Effective and relativistic low energy theory
- Large N_c limit : meson mean field \rightarrow soliton
- Quantizing SU(3) rotated-meson fields → Collective Hamiltonian, model baryon states

hedgehog



 $U_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} = \exp\left[i\gamma_5\mathbf{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}P(r)\right]$ Hedgehog Ansatz:

Collective quantization

$$U_0 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} e^{i\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\tau}\,P(r)} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

SU(2) E. Witten's imbedding into SU(3): SU(2) X U(1)



Collective Hamiltonian for flavor symmetry breakings $H_{\text{Hadronic}} = H_{\text{cl}} + H_{\text{rot}} + H_{\text{sb}}$

$$\begin{split} H_{\rm rot} &= \frac{1}{2I_1} \sum_{i=1}^3 J_i^2 + \frac{1}{2I_2} \sum_{p=1}^3 J_p^2 \\ H_{\rm sb} &= (m_s - \hat{m}) \left(\alpha D_{88}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) + \beta \hat{Y} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{8i}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) \hat{J}_i \right) \\ &+ (m_d - m_u) \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \alpha D_{38}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) + \beta \hat{T}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{3i}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) \hat{J}_i \right) \\ &+ (m_u + m_d + m_s) \sigma \\ \alpha &= - \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{\Sigma_{\pi N}}{m_u + m_d} - \frac{K_2}{I_2} \right), \quad \beta = -\frac{K_2}{I_2}, \quad \gamma = 2 \left(\frac{K_1}{I_1} - \frac{K_2}{I_2} \right) \\ \sigma &= -(\alpha + \beta) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Sigma_{\pi N}}{m_u + m_d}, \quad \text{Details will be explained by Gh.S. Yang on Wednesday.} \end{split}$$

45



Collective Hamiltonian for flavor symmetry breakings



SU(3) flavor symmetry breaking + Isospin symmetry breaking





Mass	[MeV]	T_3	Y	Exp Input	Numerical results
Ma	p	1/2	1	938.27203 ± 0.00008	938.76 ± 3.65
M_N	n	-1/2	T	939.56536 ± 0.00008	940.27 ± 3.64
M_A	Λ	0	0	1115.683 ± 0.006	1109.61 ± 0.70
	Σ^+	1		1189.37 ± 0.07	1188.75 ± 0.70
M_{Σ}	Σ^0	0	0	1192.642 ± 0.024	1190.20 ± 0.77
	Σ^{-}	-1		1197.449 ± 0.030	1195.48 ± 0.71
<i>M</i> -	Ξ^0	1/2	1	1314.83 ± 0.20	1319.30 ± 3.43
ME	Ξ^{-}	-1/2	-1	1321.31 ± 0.13	1324.52 ± 3.44

$$R = \frac{m_s - \hat{m}}{m_d - m_u} \\ = \frac{M_p - M_{\Sigma^+} + M_{\Sigma^0} - M_{\Xi^-}}{2(M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^0})},$$

 $R = 58.1 \pm 1.3$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \left(m_d-m_u\right)\alpha = -4.390\pm 0.004, & \left(m_s-\hat{m}\right)\alpha = -255.029\pm 5.821, \\ \left(m_d-m_u\right)\beta = -2.411\pm 0.001, & \left(m_s-\hat{m}\right)\beta = -140.040\pm 3.195, \\ \left(m_d-m_u\right)\gamma = -1.740\pm 0.006, & \left(m_s-\hat{m}\right)\gamma = -101.081\pm 2.332, \end{array}$



Employing the value of the ratio $(m_d - m_u) / (m_d + m_u) = 0.28 \pm 0.03$,

$$\Sigma_{\pi N} = (36.4 \pm 3.9) \,\mathrm{MeV}.$$

Numerical results of Decuplet mass

Mass	[MeV]	T_3	Y	Experiment ⁴¹⁾	Predictions
M_{Δ}	Δ^{++}	3/2	1	1231 - 1233	1248.54 ± 3.39
	Δ^+	1/2			1249.36 ± 3.37
	Δ^0	-1/2			1251.53 ± 3.38
	Δ^{-}	-3/2			1255.08 ± 3.37
	Σ^{*+}	1		1382.8 ± 0.4	1388.48 ± 0.34
M_{Σ^*}	Σ^{*0}	0	0	1383.7 ± 1.0	1390.66 ± 0.37
	Σ^{*-}	-1		1387.2 ± 0.5	1394.20 ± 0.34
M	Ξ^{*0}	1/2	1	1531.80 ± 0.32	1529.78 ± 3.38
$M \equiv *0$	Ξ^{*-}	-1/2	-1	1535.0 ± 0.6	1533.33 ± 3.37
$M^{\star}_{\Omega^{-}}$	Ω^{-}	0	-2	1672.45 ± 0.29	Input

G.S. Yang & HChK, Prog. Theor. Phys. 128,397(2012); Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2013, 013D01 48



Physical mass differences of baryon decuplet

$(\Delta M_{B_{10}})$	This work	Experimental data
$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^{+}})$	-0.59 ± 0.47	
$(M_{\Delta^+} - M_{\Delta^0})$	-1.95 ± 0.13	
$(M_{\Delta^0} - M_{\Delta^-})$	-3.32 ± 0.32	
$(M_{\Sigma^{*+}} - M_{\Sigma^{*0}})$	-1.95 ± 0.13	
$(M_{\Sigma^{*0}} - M_{\Sigma^{*-}})$	-3.32 ± 0.32	-3.1 ± 0.6 [D.W.Thomas et a
$(M_{\Xi^{*0}} - M_{\Xi^{*-}})$	-3.32 ± 0.32	-2.9 ± 0.9 [PDG, 2010]
$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^0})$	-2.54 ± 0.57	-2.86 ± 0.30 [GW, 2006]
$(M_{\Delta^+} - M_{\Delta^-})$	-5.28 ± 0.30	
$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^{-}})$	-5.86 ± 0.38	-5.9 ± 3.1 [Gatchina, 1981]
$(M_{\Sigma^{*+}} - M_{\Sigma^{*-}})$	-5.28 ± 0.30	

$$(M_{\Sigma^{*0}} - M_{\Sigma^{*-}}) = (M_{\Xi^{*0}} - M_{\Xi^{*-}})$$

Charmed baryons in the mean fields

Charmed baryons



- Valence quarks are bound by the pion mean field.
- Light quarks govern a heavy-light quark system.
- Heavy quarks can be considered as merely static color sources.



Meson mean field by Nc-1 valence quarks

Suggested by the late D. Diakonov

Charmed baryons



Weight diagram for charmed baryons without heavy quark c



Modification of the Hamiltonian





Moments of Inertia and Sigma pi-N term: sum over valence quark states:

$$I_{1,2}, K_{1,2}, \Sigma_{\pi N} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{N_c - 1}{N_c}\right) I_{1,2}, \left(\frac{N_c - 1}{N_c}\right) K_{1,2}, \left(\frac{N_c - 1}{N_c}\right) \Sigma_{\pi N},$$

Collective Hamiltonian for flavor symmetry breakings

 $H_{\rm sb}^{m_{\rm s}} = \left(\frac{N_c - 1}{N_c}\right) \alpha \, D_{88}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) \, + \, \beta \, \hat{Y} \, + \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \, \gamma \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{8i}^{(8)}(\mathcal{R}) \, \hat{J}_i$

Heavy baryons







Heavy baryon Mass Formulae



$$M_{B-Q}^{\overline{\mathbf{3}}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{soliton}} + \frac{3}{4I_2} + \delta_{\overline{3}}Y$$
$$M_{B-Q}^{\mathbf{6}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{soliton}} + \frac{3}{4I_2} + \frac{3}{2I_1} + \delta_6Y$$

$$\delta_{\overline{3}} = \frac{1}{4}\alpha + \beta = (-203.80 \pm 3.51) \text{ MeV},$$

$$\delta_{\overline{6}} = \frac{1}{10}\alpha + \beta - \frac{3}{10}\gamma = (-135.22 \pm 3.32) \text{ MeV}$$

 α, β, γ are determined from the baryon octet mass!!

G.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, in preparation

Heavy baryon Mass splitting



Rep.	ΔM	This work	Exp. [MeV]
$[\overline{2} \ I - 1]$	$\Lambda_c - \Xi_c$	202.80 ± 2.51	-182.88 ± 0.38
$\begin{bmatrix} 0, \ 0 = \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$	$\Lambda_b - \Xi_b$	-205.00 ± 5.01	-174.50 ± 1.39
$\begin{bmatrix} 6, \ J = \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$	$\Sigma_c - \Xi_c'$	-135.99 ± 3.39	-123.21 ± 2.13
	$\Sigma_b - \Xi_b^{\prime -}$	-100.22 ± 0.02	-121.62 ± 1.31
	$\Xi_c'-\Omega_c$	-135.22 ± 3.32	-118.45 ± 2.72
	$\Xi_b^{\prime -} - \Omega_b$		-113.78 ± 3.20
$\left[6, \ J = \frac{3}{2}\right]$	$\Sigma_c^* - \Xi_c^*$	125 00 1 2 20	-127.83 ± 0.89
	$\Sigma_b^* - \Xi_b^{*-}$	-130.22 ± 0.02	-121.73 ± 1.34
	$\Xi_c^* - \Omega_c^*$	-135.99 ± 3.39	-120.0 ± 2.03
	$\Xi_b^* - \Omega_b^*$	-135.22 ± 3.32	•

G.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, in preparation

Chrmomagnetic splitting



Splitting between spin 1/2 and 3/2 in the Baryon Sextet



G.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, in preparation

Results



Preliminary Results

\mathcal{R}_Q^J	B	Mass Prediction [MeV]	Theor Exp.[MeV]: $\%$	Exp. [MeV]
$\overline{\mathbf{g}}J = \frac{1}{2}$	Λ_c	Input		2283.46 ± 0.14
o _c -	Ξ_c	2490.23 ± 1.29	$21.33 \pm 2.17 ~:~ (0.86)\%$	2469.34 ± 0.35
× 1	Σ_c	2424.76 ± 2.26	$-28.78 \pm 2.22 \ : \ - (1.17)\%$	2453.54 ± 0.15
$6_{\mathrm{c}}^{J=\frac{1}{2}}$	Ξ_c'	2559.98 ± 1.13	$-16.77 \pm 2.39 ~:~ -(0.65)\%$	2576.75 ± 2.12
	Ω_c	Input		2695.2 ± 1.7
- 3	Σ_c^*	2495.46 ± 2.26	$-22.60\pm2.36~:~-(0.90)\%$	2518.07 ± 0.82
$6_{c}^{J=\frac{5}{2}}$	Ξ_c^*	2630.68 ± 1.13	$-15.22 \pm 1.17 \; : \; -(0.58) \%$	2645.9 ± 0.35
	Ω_c^*	Input		2765.9 ± 2.0

G.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, in preparation

Summary



- Assuming that the valence quarks are bound by the pion mean fields, we can regard the nucleon as a chiral soliton.
- Formulating the most general expressions of the collective Hamiltonian and determining all dynamical parameters by using the experimental data unequivocally, we are able to find the the collective baryon wavefunctions.
- Then we predicted the mass splittings of the baryon decuplet.
- We also presented preliminary results of the masses for the charmed and bottom baryons (light quarks govern their structure!).

Outlook



- Coupling constants & Form factors of Heavy baryons
- Decays of heavy Baryons (strong, radiative, semileptonic, nonleptonic,.....)
- strange quark mass dependence of charmed & bottom baryon properties.
- Heavy Pentaquarks (In fact, Pc belongs to the baryon octet according to the light quarks). In this case, the mean-field approach in the large Nc limit seems even more plausible!
- Doubly charmed & bottom baryons

Thanks to My collaborators

- B. Turimov (Inha Univ.)
- U. Yakhshiev (Inha Univ.)
- E. Hiyama (RIKEN)
- M.M. Musakhanov (Uzbekistan Nat'l Univ.)
- Gh.-S. Yang (Soong-Sil Univ.)
- M.V. Polyakov (Ruhr-Uni Bochum)
- M. Praszalowicz (Jagiellonian Univ.)



Though this be madness, yet there is method in it.

Hamlet Act 2, Scene 2

Thank you very much!



Model baryon state

$$|B\rangle = \sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{R})} (-1)^{J_3 + Y'/2} D_{(Y,T,T_3)(-Y',J,-J_3)}^{(\mathcal{R})*}$$

Constraint for the collective quantization :

$$Y' = -\frac{N_c B}{3}$$

Mixings of baryon states

$$\begin{aligned} |B_8\rangle &= \left|8_{1/2}, B\right\rangle + c_{\overline{10}}^B \left|\overline{10}_{1/2}, B\right\rangle + c_{\overline{27}}^B \left|27_{1/2}, B\right\rangle, \\ |B_{10}\rangle &= \left|10_{3/2}, B\right\rangle + a_{\overline{27}}^B \left|27_{3/2}, B\right\rangle + a_{\overline{35}}^B \left|35_{3/2}, B\right\rangle, \\ |B_{\overline{10}}\rangle &= \left|\overline{10}_{1/2}, B\right\rangle + d_8^B \left|8_{1/2}, B\right\rangle + d_{\overline{27}}^B \left|27_{1/2}, B\right\rangle + d_{\overline{35}}^B \left|\overline{35}_{1/2}, B\right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$|B,S\rangle = |R,B,S\rangle - \sum_{R' \neq R} |R',B,S\rangle \, \frac{\langle R',B,S| \, H' \, |R,B,S\rangle}{M^{(0)}(R') - M^{(0)}(R)}.$$



Mixing coefficients

$$\begin{split} c^B_{\overline{10}} &= c_{\overline{10}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{5} \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ c^B_{27} = c_{27} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{6} \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ \sqrt{6} \end{bmatrix}, \ a^B_{27} = a_{27} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{15/2} \\ 2 \\ \sqrt{3/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ a^B_{35} = a_{35} \begin{bmatrix} 5/\sqrt{14} \\ 2\sqrt{5/7} \\ 3\sqrt{5/14} \\ 2\sqrt{5/7} \end{bmatrix}, \\ d^B_8 &= d_8 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{5} \\ \sqrt{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ d^B_{27} = d_{27} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{3/10} \\ 2/\sqrt{5} \\ \sqrt{3/2} \end{bmatrix}, \ d^B_{\overline{35}} = d_{\overline{35}} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{7} \\ 3/(2\sqrt{14}) \\ 1/\sqrt{7} \\ \sqrt{5/56} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

respectively in the basis $[N, \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi], [\Delta, \Sigma^*, \Xi^*, \Omega], [\Theta^+, N_{\overline{10}}, \Sigma_{\overline{10}}, \Xi_{\overline{10}}]$

EM mass corrections



Electromagnetic (*EM*) self-energy

EM [MeV]	Exp.
(<i>p</i> − <i>n</i>) _{<i>⊑M</i>}	0.76± 0.30
(Σ+ _ Σ⁻)	-0.17 ± 0.30
(=0 _=)	-0.86 ± 0.30

Gasser, Leutwyler, Phys.Rep 87, 77 "Quark Masses"

$$\Delta M_B = M_{B_1} - M_{B_2} = (\Delta M_B)_H + (\Delta M_B)_{EM}$$
$$(p-n)_{exp} \sim -1.293 \text{ MeV} \qquad (p-n)_{EM} \sim 0.76 \text{ MeV}$$







In the ChSM, $(\Delta M_B)_{\rm EM} = \langle B | J_\mu(x) J^\mu(0) | B \rangle = \langle B | \mathcal{O}_{\rm EM} | B \rangle$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\rm EM} = -\frac{e^2}{2} \int d^3x \, d^3y D_{\gamma}(x, y) \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \left\langle x \left| \frac{1}{\omega + iH} \gamma_{\mu} \lambda^a \right| y \right\rangle \left\langle y \left| \frac{1}{\omega + iH} \gamma_{\mu} \lambda^b \right| x \right\rangle D_{Qa}^{(8)} D_{Qb}^{(8)} \right.$$
$$= \alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 D_{Qi}^{(8)} D_{Qi}^{(8)} + \alpha_2 \sum_{p=4}^7 D_{Qp}^{(8)} D_{Qp}^{(8)} + \alpha_3 D_{Q8}^{(8)} D_{Q8}^{(8)}$$

1

It can be further reduced to

G. S. Yang, H.-Ch. Kim and M. V. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 695, 214 (2011)



$$(M_p - M_n)_{\rm EM} = \frac{1}{5} \left(c^{(8)} + \frac{4}{9} c^{(27)} \right)$$
$$(M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{\rm EM} = c^{(8)}$$
$$(M_{\Xi^0} - M_{\Xi^-})_{\rm EM} = \frac{4}{5} \left(c^{(8)} - \frac{1}{9} c^{(27)} \right)$$

Coleman-Glashow relation

$$(M_p - M_n)_{\rm EM} = (M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{\rm EM} - (M_{\Xi^0} - M_{\Xi^-})_{\rm EM}$$

<i>EM</i> [MeV]	Exp. [input]	
$(M_p - M_p)_{FM}$	0.76±0.30	
$(M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{EM}$	-0.17±0.30	
(M _{≡0} –M _Ξ -) _{<i>EM</i>}	-0.86±0.30	
$c^{(8)} = -0.15 \pm$	$0.23, c^{(27)} =$	8.62

 ± 2.39



$$(M_p - M_n)_{\rm EM} = \frac{1}{5} \left(c^{(8)} + \frac{4}{9} c^{(27)} \right)$$
$$(M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{\rm EM} = c^{(8)}$$
$$(M_{\Xi^0} - M_{\Xi^-})_{\rm EM} = \frac{4}{5} \left(c^{(8)} - \frac{1}{9} c^{(27)} \right)$$

Coleman-Glashow relation

X = fit

$$(M_p - M_n)_{\rm EM} = (M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{\rm EM} - (M_{\Xi^0} - M_{\Xi^-})_{\rm EM}$$

EM [MeV]	Exp. [input]	reproduced
$(M_p - M_p)_{FM}$	0.76±0.30	0.74±0.22
$(M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-})_{EM}$	-0.17±0.30	-0.15±0.23
(M _{≡0} –M _≡ -) _{EM}	-0.86±0.30	-0.88±0.28

 $c^{(8)} = -0.15 \pm 0.23, \quad c^{(27)} = 8.62 \pm 2.39$



$(\Delta M_{B_{10}})_{\rm EM}$	Numerical results	$(\Delta M_{B_{10}})_{\rm EM}$	Numerical results
$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^{+}})_{\rm EM}$	1.60 ± 0.46	$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^0})_{\rm EM}$	1.84 ± 0.54
$(M_{\Delta^+} - M_{\Delta^0})_{\rm EM}$	0.24 ± 0.10	$(M_{\Delta^+} - M_{\Delta^-})_{\rm EM}$	-0.89 ± 0.26
$(M_{\Delta^0} - M_{\Delta^-})_{\rm EM}$	-1.13 ± 0.30	$(M_{\Delta^{++}} - M_{\Delta^{-}})_{\rm EM}$	0.71 ± 0.29
$(M_{\Sigma^{*+}} - M_{\Sigma^{*0}})_{\rm EM}$	0.24 ± 0.10	$(M_{\Sigma^{*+}} - M_{\Sigma^{*-}})_{\rm EM}$	-0.89 ± 0.26
$(M_{\Sigma^{*0}} - M_{\Sigma^{*-}})_{\rm EM}$	-1.13 ± 0.30		
$(M_{\Xi^{*0}} - M_{\Xi^{*-}})_{\rm EM}$	-1.13 ± 0.30		



Present analysis reproduces all kind of well-known mass relations

Coleman-Glashow relation is still satisfied

$$M_p - M_n = (M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-}) - (M_{\Xi^0} - M_{\Xi^-})$$

Generalized Gell-Mann-Okubo relation

$$2(M_p + M_{\Xi^0}) = 3M_{\Lambda} + \overline{M}_{\Sigma} + (M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-}) + \frac{2}{3}\Delta M_{\Sigma},$$

$$2(M_n + M_{\Xi^-}) = 3M_{\Lambda} + \overline{M}_{\Sigma} - (M_{\Sigma^+} - M_{\Sigma^-}) + \frac{2}{3}\Delta M_{\Sigma},$$

where $\Delta M_{\Sigma} = M_{\Sigma^+} + M_{\Sigma^-} - 2M_{\Sigma^0}$.

When the effect of the *isospin sym. br* is turned off, $2(\overline{M}_N + \overline{M}_{\Xi}) = 3M_{\Lambda} + \overline{M}_{\Sigma}$

★ Generalized *Guadagnini* formulae

$$8\left(\overline{M}_N + \overline{M}_{\Xi^*}\right) + 3\overline{M}_{\Sigma} = 11\overline{M}_{\Lambda} + 8\overline{M}_{\Sigma^*}$$