First online: February 4, 2022 Last modified: February 4, 2022 Katsunori Kubo, ASRC, JAEA

Mean-field approximation and Landau theory

We consider the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \hat{M}_i \lambda_{ij} \hat{M}_j.$$

For repeated indices, we take the sum. We consider a mean-field Hamiltonian given by

$$H(\boldsymbol{M}) = M_i \lambda_{ij} \hat{M}_j + \hat{M}_i \lambda_{ij} M_j - M_i \lambda_{ij} M_j,$$

where M is called an order parameter. We assume that the order parameter transforms in the same way as the operator \hat{M} under symmetry operations. Then the mean-field Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as the original Hamiltonian. The original and mean-field Hamiltonians have the following relationship:

$$H = H(\boldsymbol{M}) + (\hat{M}_i - M_i)\lambda_{ij}(\hat{M}_j - M_j).$$

In the mean-field approximation, we ignore the fluctuations given by the last term in this equation. In the non-ordered state with M = 0 or the critical region with small M around the transition point, the non-fluctuation terms are zero or very small and the mean-field approximation is not justified. In the mean-field approximation, we assume that M is equal to the expectation value of \hat{M} in the mean-field Hamiltonian:

$$M = \langle \hat{M} \rangle_{\mathrm{MF}} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr} \hat{M} e^{-\beta H(M)}}{\mathrm{Tr} e^{-\beta H(M)}}.$$

It is called a mean-field equation.

We consider the free energy of the mean-field Hamiltonian:

$$F(\boldsymbol{M}) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H(\boldsymbol{M})}.$$

By using

$$\frac{\partial H(M)}{\partial M_i} = \lambda_{ij}\hat{M}_j + \hat{M}_j\lambda_{ji} - \lambda_{ij}M_j - M_j\lambda_{ji}$$
$$= \lambda_{ij}(\hat{M}_j - M_j) + (\hat{M}_j - M_j)\lambda_{ji}$$
$$= (\lambda_{ii} + \lambda_{ji})(\hat{M}_i - M_j),$$

the derivative of F(M) with respect to M_i is

$$\frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial M_{i}} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{M})}{\partial M_{i}}e^{-\beta H(\boldsymbol{M})}}{\operatorname{Tr}e^{-\beta H(\boldsymbol{M})}} = (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ji})(\langle \hat{M}_{j} \rangle_{\mathrm{MF}} - M_{j})$$

Therefore the condition that F(M) is an extremum is equivalent to the mean-field equation. However, only from the above discussion, we cannot determine which solution we should choose when there are multiple solutions.

Then, we assume $\langle H(\mathbf{M}) \rangle_{\rm MF} = \langle H \rangle_{\rm MF}$ when the mean-field equation holds. In this case, we should choose the solution with the lowest free energy based on the Bogoliubov-Feynman inequality (cf. calculation memo "Variational principle for free energy"). From this discussion, we can use $F(\mathbf{M})$ as the free energy of the Landau theory and we should minimize this.

In the actual calculation of mean-field approximation, it is usually easier to solve the mean-field equation than to minimize the free energy and the following procedure is often applied:

- 1. Choose a mean-field Hamiltonian that satisfies $\langle H(M) \rangle_{MF} = \langle H \rangle_{MF}$ when the mean-field equation holds.
- 2. Solve the mean-field equation.
- 3. Select the solution with the lowest free energy.

Mean-field approximation for the Ising model

We consider the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{M}_i \hat{M}_j,$$

where $\hat{M}_i = \pm 1$, *i* and *j* represent lattice sites, $\langle i, j \rangle$ denotes summation over pairs of nearest-neighboring sites. We consider an order parameter *M* independent of the site. Then, the mean-field Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{split} H(M) &= -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(M \hat{M}_j + \hat{M}_i M - M^2 \right) \\ &= -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(2M \hat{M}_i - M^2 \right) \\ &= -J \sum_i \frac{z}{2} \left(2M \hat{M}_i - M^2 \right) \\ &= N \frac{z}{2} J M^2 - z J M \sum_i \hat{M}_i. \end{split}$$

Here, z is the number of nearest-neighboring lattice sites and N is the total number of the lattice sites. In this mean-field Hamiltonian, we can sum the states at each site independently and then, $\langle \hat{M}_i \hat{M}_j \rangle_{\rm MF} = \langle \hat{M}_i \rangle_{\rm MF} \langle \hat{M}_j \rangle_{\rm MF}$. In addition, if the mean-field equation $\langle \hat{M}_i \rangle_{\rm MF} = M$ holds, we obtain $\langle H(M) \rangle_{\rm MF} = \langle H \rangle_{\rm MF}$.

$$\langle \hat{M}_i \rangle_{\rm MF} = \frac{e^{\beta z JM} - e^{-\beta z JM}}{e^{\beta z JM} + e^{-\beta z JM}} = \tanh(\beta z JM).$$

Then, the mean-field equation is $M = \tanh(\beta z J M)$. For $J \le 0$, there is only one solution M = 0. In the following, we assume J > 0. For $0 \le M \ll 1$,

$$M = \tanh(\beta z J M) \simeq \beta z J M - \frac{1}{3} (\beta z J)^3 M^3.$$

From the coefficients of 1st-order terms of M, a solution with non-zero M appears for $T < T_c = zJ$. By using this, we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\left(\frac{T_{\rm c}}{T}-1\right)M\simeq\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{T_{\rm c}}{T}\right)^3M^3.$$

Then, for $T \leq T_c$, we obtain a solution with non-zero *M*:

$$M \simeq \sqrt{3(T_{\rm c} - T)/T_{\rm c}}.$$

However, we cannot determine which solution, M = 0 or $M \neq 0$, should we choose only from this calculation.

Landau theory for the Ising model

$$\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H(M)} = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta \left(N\frac{T_{c}}{2}M^{2} - T_{c}M\sum_{i}\hat{M}_{i}\right)}$$
$$= e^{-\beta N\frac{T_{c}}{2}M^{2}}\operatorname{Tr} e^{\beta T_{c}M\sum_{i}\hat{M}_{i}}$$
$$= e^{-\beta N\frac{T_{c}}{2}M^{2}}\operatorname{Tr} \prod_{i} e^{\beta T_{c}M\hat{M}_{i}}$$
$$= e^{-\beta N\frac{T_{c}}{2}M^{2}} \left(e^{\beta T_{c}M} + e^{-\beta T_{c}M}\right)^{N}$$

We expand the free energy up to 4th order in M. By using

$$\begin{split} \ln(e^{x} + e^{-x}) &= \ln\left[\left(1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right) + \left(1 - x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} - \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right)\right] \\ &= \ln\left[2\left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right)\right] \\ &= \ln 2 + \ln\left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right) \\ &= \ln 2 + \left(\frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \cdots\right)^{2} + \cdots \\ &\simeq \ln 2 + \frac{x^{2}}{2} - \frac{x^{4}}{12}, \end{split}$$
$$F(M) = -T \ln \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H(M)} = N \frac{T_{c}}{2} M^{2} - NT \ln\left(e^{\beta T_{c}M} + e^{-\beta T_{c}M}\right) \\ &\simeq N \frac{T_{c}}{2} M^{2} - NT \ln 2 - NT \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{T_{c}}{T}\right)^{2} M^{2} + NT \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{T_{c}}{T}\right)^{4} M^{4} \\ &= -NT \ln 2 + N \left[-\frac{T_{c}}{2}\left(\frac{T_{c}}{T} - 1\right) M^{2} + \frac{T_{c}}{12}\left(\frac{T_{c}}{T}\right)^{3} M^{4}\right]. \end{split}$$

$$\frac{dF(M)}{dM} \simeq NMT_{\rm c} \left[-\left(\frac{T_{\rm c}}{T} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{T_{\rm c}}{T}\right)^3 M^2 \right].$$

Thus, for $T \leq T_c$, we obtain a non-zero M solution of dF(M)/dM = 0,

$$M\simeq\sqrt{3(T_{\rm c}-T)/T_{\rm c}},$$

as obtained by solving the mean-field equation directly. In addition, we find that the above solution $M \neq 0$ minimizes F(M) since the coefficient of M^2 in F(M) is negative at $T \leq T_c$.

Even without expanding F(M), we can easily show that the condition that F(M) is an extremum is equivalent to the mean-field equation:

$$\frac{dF(M)}{dM} = NT_{c}M - NT\beta T_{c}\frac{e^{\beta T_{c}M} - e^{-\beta T_{c}M}}{e^{\beta T_{c}M} + e^{-\beta T_{c}M}}$$
$$= NT_{c}\left[M - \tanh\left(\frac{T_{c}}{T}M\right)\right].$$